



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,652	09/10/2003	Muxiang Zhang	02-8027	3700
25537	7590	09/24/2008	EXAMINER	
VERIZON			POLTORAK, PIOTR	
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1515 N. COURTHOUSE ROAD				2134
SUITE 500				
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/24/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@verizon.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/659,652	Applicant(s) ZHANG, MUXIANG
	Examiner PETER POLTORAK	Art Unit 2134

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-8, 18-25 and 34-41 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-4, 18-21 and 34-37 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 5-8, 22-25 and 38-41 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/14/08 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant arguments have been carefully considered.
3. Applicant arguments are essentially directed towards the newly introduced limitations which required new search and consideration. Applicant arguments are moot in light of newly offered rejection. The newly introduced limitations are explicitly addressed in this Office Action, below.
4. Claims 1-8, 18-25 and 34-41.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-2, 18-19 and 34-35 objected to because the body of the claims do not support in claim's preamble. Although claims are directing towards facilitating authentication, no authentication steps are recited in claims 1-2, 18-19 and 34-35.
6. Claims 3-8, 20-25 and 36-41 are objected to by virtue of their dependence.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 1-4, 18-21 and 34-37 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aura (USPN 6711400) or, in alternative over Aura in view of Netanel (USPUB 2003/0166398).

As per claims 1, 18 and 34, Aura discloses a system and a method for facilitating authentication in a wireless network comprising:

- a. receiving an initial nonce value (RAND1) and a mobile station message authentication code value (IMSI) from a serving mobile network (VPLMN) in an authentication data request (data comprising IMSI, RAND1 values) associated with a user device (MS), the initial nonce value originating from the user device (object 401, Fig. 4) and the message authentication code value is determined at the mobile station from data originating from the user device (A user station is a subset of mobile station MS; thus data such as IMSI in a user station is a subset of all MS' data. Thus the user device sending the message authentication code value must be determined at the mobile station. See USPUB 2003/0157926, for example).
- b. generating a subsequent nonce value (RAND2) from the initial nonce value based on a first authentication function that is shared with the user

device (object 404, algorithms A3 and A8, Fig. 4, col. 2 lines 27-col. 3 line 19);

c. generating an authentication vector (405) associated with the user device, the authentication vector including the subsequent nonce value; and transmitting the authentication vector to the serving mobile network (Fig. 4).

8. In Aura's invention the message authentication code value is determined (includes) only the data originating from the user device (IMSI) but does not teach data originating from serving mobile network.

However, this difference is only found only in non-functional limitation descriptive material and do not alter the essence of the method disclosed by Aura (i.e., the descriptive material does not affect facilitating authentication). Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

9. Additionally, it is noted that Aura's invention offers two-way authentication, wherein MS and HLR/AUC are authenticated (see Aura, Fig. 4). Starting the authentication process at the HLR/AUC side would have been an obvious variation of the two-way authentication disclosed by Aura (there is only predictable number of solution: i.e. the process started at MS, HLR/AUC or VPLMN. See KSR ruling). Note that starting the process at HLR/AUC (HLR/AUC initiate a random value to be sent to MS, then MS operate on the random value to generate a second random value and

sending the second random value with IMSI, that then would be verified by VLR/AUC (verification would create a subsequent random value)) would meet the claimed limitation.

10. Furthermore, Netanel teaches the message authentication code value in addition to the value determined on the data originating from the user device (IMSI/MSISDN) also discloses data originating from serving mobile network (RAND is received from BS/MSC/VLR).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include data determined at the mobile station and originated from the serving mobile network in the message authentication code value as taught by Netanel given the benefit of session authentication (see Fig. 1A and associated text, for example).

11. As per claims 2, 19 and 35, Aura discloses receiving an International Mobile Station Identifier (IMSI) corresponding to the user device from the serving mobile network (Fig. 4).

12. As per claims 3-4, 20-21 and 36-37, Aura discloses receiving a visiting location register randomized authentication value (SRES1), a mobile station randomized authentication value (Kc) and a mobile station message authentication value (SRES2'), generating a visiting location register randomized authentication value (SRES2) based on the visiting location register randomized authentication value and determining whether the visiting location register randomized authentication value (carried out by evaluating a second authentication function with the visiting location

register randomized authentication value and the mobile station randomized authentication value as inputs) equals the mobile station message authentication (409).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-8, 22-25 and 38-41 overcome the art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Poltorak whose telephone number is (571) 272-3840. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and alternate Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Peter Poltorak/

Art Unit: 2134

Examiner, Art Unit 2134

/Kambiz Zand/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2134